Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Social Science & Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/socscimed

# Syndemic interactions of chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse and their association with academic performance and quality of life among college students

Fares Qeadan <sup>\*</sup><sup>©</sup>, Rose Thornquist, Benjamin Tingey

Parkinson School of Health Sciences and Public Health, Loyola University Chicago, Maywood, IL, USA

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Handling editor: Social Epidemiology Office	Background: College students experience multiple stressors impacting academic performance and quality of life (QoL), including chronic pain, mental health issues, and opioid misuse, which can co-occur and exacerbate one
Keywords: Mental health issues Chronic pain	another. Guided by syndemic theory, this study examined the associations between these three health conditions and academic performance and QoL.
Opioid misse Academic performance Quality of life Syndemic theory College students	<i>Methods</i> : We analyzed cross-sectional data from 334,957 students from the ACHA-NCHA III survey (2019–2022). Lifetime chronic pain and mental health problems were self-reported based on provider diagnosis or symptoms. Opioid misuse was defined as ever using heroin or using prescription opioids for nonmedical purposes. Syndemic burden was measured using individual indicators, a composite count (0–3), and interactions terms. Academic performance was measured via a binary indicator of impeded performance, and QoL was assessed using a weighted average score from four validated WHOQOL-BREF domains. Multiple logistic and linear regression were used, adjusting for demographic and contextual variables. <i>Results</i> : Greater syndemic burden was associated with worse outcomes. Students with all three conditions had the highest odds of impeded academic performance (aOR = 7.38, 95 % CI: 6.52, 8.35) and the lowest QoL scores ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -12.05, 95$ % CI: $-12.37, -11.73$ ). Each factor was independently associated with adverse outcomes, and significant interaction effects, especially when mental health problems were present, suggested syndemic amplification.
	<i>Conclusion:</i> These findings support a syndemic framework linking chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse with academic and QoL outcomes in college students. Interventions should prioritize integrated mental health services and non-pharmacological pain management options in college health systems.

# 1. Introduction

College students face a wide range of stressors related to academic demands and environmental changes, and during this time, academic performance and quality of life can be impacted by various factors, including chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse. Chronic pain, a persistent or recurrent pain lasting longer than 3 months (Treede et al., 2015), affects 7.4 % of college students (American College Health Association, 2022) and has been shown to negatively impact quality of life, class attendance, and school performance (McCarthy et al., 2021). Mental health problems are a significant issue on college campuses, with 60.8 % of students reporting anxiety, 46.9 % reporting high stress, and 44.3 % reporting depression (Gorman et al., 2021).

Multiple studies find depression and ADHD to be negatively associated with academic performance (Asher BlackDeer et al., 2023; Riboldi et al., 2022; Prevatt and Young, 2014) and the presence of any mental health problem to be negatively associated with quality of life among young adults (Evans et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2020; Ribeiro et al., 2018). Opioid misuse, the use of illicit/non-prescription and prescription opioids, has decreased from 5.4 % in 2013 to 2.7 % in 2018 among college students, (National Institute on Drug Abuse) yet opioid misuse continues to negatively affect college students' academic performance (Ellis et al., 2020; Harries et al., 2018; Meshesha et al., 2017) and quality of life (Rhee and Rosenheck, 2019). Chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse can coexist for a college student and further exasperate the effects each has on academic performance and quality of life. This

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2025.118243

Received 19 December 2024; Received in revised form 15 May 2025; Accepted 21 May 2025 Available online 28 May 2025 0277-9536/© 2025 Elsevier Ltd. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Parkinson School of Health Sciences and Public Health, Loyola University Chicago 2160 S 1st Ave, Maywood, IL, 60153, USA. *E-mail address:* fqeadan@luc.edu (F. Qeadan).

clustering of two or more diseases or health conditions and their interactions that lead to an increased health burden is explained by Singer's syndemic theory (Singer et al., 2017).

The Syndemic Theory was first proposed by Merrill Singer, a medical anthropologist investigating a cluster of substance abuse, violence, and AIDS cases in Hartford, Connecticut in the early 1990s. He observed that HIV was more prevalent in inner cities where substance abuse and violence were interlinked. He defined the interactions between substance abuse, violence, and AIDS (SAVA) as a "closely interrelated complex of health and social crises." (Singer, 1994) Higher SAVA scores were associated with higher viral loads and reduced effectiveness of treatments (Singer et al., 2017). Singer later identified three criteria necessary to properly characterize a syndemic: the clustering of two or more diseases or health conditions, the biological interactions among the conditions leading to an increased health burden, and contextual factors creating conditions for the interactions to lead to worsened health outcomes (Singer et al., 2017). Few studies incorporating the syndemic theory have focused on college students (Orchowski et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2019; Turpin et al., 2023), and these studies lacked either one or two criteria identified by Singer. College students represent a uniquely vulnerable group due to the transitional nature of this life stage, which is often marked by increasing independence, identity formation, and exposure to new stressors. This period of emerging adulthood is also characterized by heightened experimentation, particularly in social and substance use behaviors, and by academic and performance pressures that can exacerbate both mental and physical health challenges. For example, the drive to succeed academically or athletically may heighten the risk of chronic pain, especially among student-athletes. These contextual features of the college experience may intensify the clustering and interaction of chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse, warranting application of syndemic theory in this setting.

Despite increasing recognition that college students experience high rates of chronic pain, mental health problems, and substance use, prior research has largely examined these conditions in isolation. Existing studies tend to focus on individual associations with academic or quality of life outcomes rather than their combined or interacting effects. Only a handful of studies have applied syndemic theory to college student populations, and most fall short of examining all three of Singer's criteria, clustering, interaction, and contextual drivers (Orchowski et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2019; Turpin et al., 2023). No prior research, to our knowledge, has rigorously quantified the combined and interactive effects of chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse on functional outcomes such as academic performance and quality of life in a national college student sample. There is therefore a need to apply the Syndemic Theory and its three criteria to college students, including an investigation into the interactions of chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse in American academic institutions.

Previous research has shown that the interactions of two or more of the selected health conditions (i.e., chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse) can lead to worsened health outcomes. For instance, the relationship between opioid misuse and mental illness has been shown to be bidirectional with their coexistence being linked to worse treatment outcomes, higher morbidity and mortality, and higher risk of suicide compared to those with only one of the conditions (Martins et al., 2009; Silva, 2023). Similarly, the relationship between chronic pain and mental health problems is bi-directional (Hooten, 2016; Yao et al., 2023), leading to a lower quality of life together (Van Rijswijk et al., 2019). Relating to Singer's third criterion, contextual factors create environments that exacerbate the effects of these interactions on college campuses. Among chronic pain patients, for instance, opioid misuse is more likely for younger groups (18-30) compared to older individuals (Edlund et al., 2014), and interpersonal relationships are shown to have significant relationships with chronic pain (McCarthy et al., 2021; Hadi et al., 2019) and mental illness (Evans et al., 2007) among young adults. Welsh, Shentu, and Sarvey (2019) found that students affiliated with fraternities were more likely to have substance use disorder, mental health issues, and lower GPAs as well as experiencing peer pressure and chronic illness (Welsh et al., 2019). Qeadan et al. (2022) found that discrimination is positively associated with all mental health symptoms (Qeadan et al., 2022). The interactions between chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse, while considering relevant contextual factors on college campuses, demonstrate a complex and dangerous interplay that requires further attention.

The objective of the current study is therefore to determine whether syndemic effects exist between chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse, such that their interactions are associated with worsened academic performance and quality of life among college students. Using data from the American College Health Association (ACHA) National College Health Assessment (NCHA), a nationally recognized health and research survey, this study aims to quantify both the summative and interactive syndemic effect of the three selected health conditions on academic performance and quality of life, while adjusting for relevant societal and environmental predictors, including interpersonal relationships, racial perceptions, and college environments. Per Singer's syndemic theory, it is hypothesized that the clustering of chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse will be associated with worsened outcomes among college students compared to each health condition alone.

# 2. Methods

# 2.1. Data source, sample, and design

Data for this study were obtained from the ACHA-NCHA survey constructed for Fall 2019 to Fall 2022, referred to as the ACHA-NCHA III (Lederer and Hoban, 2022). The ACHA-NCHA III is a national, biannual survey administered to millions of students across 973 academic institutions. The survey is filled out physically or electronically by students and asks about a wide range of health behaviors and attitudes, including alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use, sexual health, mental health, physical health, personal safety and violence, food insecurity and homelessness, student characteristics (i.e., GPA, enrollment status, type of institution attended, and fraternity/sorority involvement), and demographic characteristics (i.e., age, gender identity, sexual orientation, and race/ethnicity). This study therefore follows a cross-sectional design. Institutions voluntarily elect to participate in the ACHA-NCHA, and administration protocols, including census versus random sampling, are determined at the institutional level. The ACHA does not apply post-stratification weights to the national dataset, and thus, according to ACHA guidance, generalizability may be limited due to institutional self-selection and variability in campus-level response rates. For this study, data were aggregated from Fall 2019 to Fall 2022, including a total of 334,957 student responses.

# 2.2. Measures

# 2.2.1. Dependent variables

2.2.1.1. Impeded academic performance. The first outcome of interest was a dichotomized indication (yes/no) of whether one's academic performance was impeded. Students were asked, "Within the last 12 months, have any of the following affected your academic performance?" Students reported if specific instances or conditions, including assault, allergies, anxiety, depression, headaches/migraines, etc. had impeded their academic performance. For each specific instance or condition, students had four options. Students who answered "I have experienced this issue, and it negatively impacted my performance in a class" or "I have experienced this issue and it delayed progress towards my degree" for any of the instances or conditions were assigned an indication of "Yes" for impeded academic performance. This definition

#### F. Qeadan et al.

# is consistent with previous studies (Edwards and Froehle, 2023; Vernet and Sberna, 2022).

2.2.1.2. Quality of life. The second outcome of interest, quality of life (QoL), was constructed by adapting questions from the ACHA-NCHA III and aligning them with the abbreviated version of the World Health Organization quality of life instrument (WHOQOL-BREF) (WHO, 1998). The score was made up of four domains: physical health, psychological, social relationships, and environment. Items from the NCHA were identified for each facet of the four domains to create a QoL scale that imitates the WHOQOL-BREF scale (Supplement Table 1). Each item was used on a 5-point Likert scale, with 5 indicating the highest QoL. Missing data ranged from 0.6 % to 5.4 % across domains and was determined to be missing completely at random (MCAR) per Little's test (Little, 1988). Data were therefore imputed with a single, fully conditional specification using the discriminant function. Domain specific scores were created using principal component analysis (PCA), wherein all principal components with eigenvalues over 1 were included and weighted by their variance contribution (Zhang et al., 2018). An overall weighted average QoL score was then constructed to incorporate each domain with appropriate weighting determined by performing a random forest analysis and using the ratio of importance scores relative to the lowest. The overall QoL score was rescaled to a 0-100 scale, following the WHOQOL-BREF scale (Harper et al., 1999; Skevington and Tucker, 1999). Cronbach's alpha for the adapted overall QoL scale demonstrated excellent internal consistency (raw  $\alpha = 0.90$ ; standardized  $\alpha = 0.92$ ).

# 2.2.2. Independent variables

2.2.2.1. Syndemic factors. The primary predictors of interest were the syndemic factors: chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse (all dichotomous (yes/no) variables). Students were asked if they had ever been diagnosed with chronic pain, and students who answered "Yes" were assigned an indication of "Yes" for chronic pain. Students were assigned an indication of "Yes" for mental health problems if at least one of the following was satisfied: (1) binary indication (yes/no) for mental illness diagnosis, (2) a Kessler 6 Scale score of thirteen or greater (Kessler et al., 2010; Prochaska et al., 2012), (3) a UCLA 3-Item Loneliness Scale score of six or greater (Russell et al., 1980), (4) a Suicide Behavior Questionnaire-Revised Screening score greater than six (Osman et al., 2001), (5) a Diener Flourishing Scale score less than 36 (Diener et al., 2010), or (6) a Connor-Davison Resilience Scale Score less than six (Vaishnavi et al., 2007). This definition was chosen to reflect various mental health problems, including panic attacks, phobias, schizophrenia, depression, etc., as well as feelings of hopelessness, being overwhelmed, exhaustion, loneliness, sadness, anxiety, and anger. Students were assigned an indication of "Yes" for opioid misuse if they had ever used heroin or prescription opioids for a nonmedical use.

2.2.2.2. Syndemic conditions. To assess the burden of increasing health conditions, syndemic conditions were represented as a composite variable summing the 3 binary syndemic factors (i.e., chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse). The variable was constructed following previous studies by adding the number of syndemic factors experienced by each student (O'Leary et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019). The syndemic condition variable thus ranged from 0 to 3, in which 0 meant a student had none of the conditions and 3 meant a student had all conditions.

2.2.2.3. Syndemic interactions. A variable for syndemic interactions extends the syndemic conditions variable to convey the effect corresponding to each condition combination. Variations of the syndemic interaction variable included no conditions, chronic pain only, mental health problems only, opioid misuse only, chronic pain and mental health problems only, chronic pain and opioid misuse only, mental

health problems and opioid misuse only, and interaction of all three conditions.

2.2.2.4. Cofactors of interest. Demographic predictors of interest were age (i.e. 18-20, 21-24, 25-29, 30+), gender identity (i.e. cisgender female, cisgender male, gender diverse, transgender), sexual orientation (i.e., heterosexual, gay/lesbian, bisexual, other), race/ethnicity (i.e. American Indian/Alaska Native, Hispanic or Latino/a/x, Non-Hispanic Asian or Asian American, Non-Hispanic Biracial or Multiracial, Non-Hispanic Black, Non-Hispanic Other, Non-Hispanic White, and Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander), survey year (2019 through 2022), US geographic region (i.e., Midwest, Northeast, South, and West), type of institution (i.e. 2-year or 4 or more years), enrollment status (i.e., fulltime or part-time), average weekly hours spent on academics (i.e.,  $\leq$ 5, >5-10, >10-15, >15-20, >20), housing (i.e., on-campus, offcampus, unhoused, other), and insurance status (i.e., private, public, uninsured, don't know). Survey year was included to adjust for temporal variation, including potential effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on student health and academic outcomes. The number of interpersonal problems faced by a student was also adjusted for, encompassing issues with family, friends, peers, roommates, intimate relationships, and/or coping with the health problems or death of someone close to the student (i.e., 0, 1, 2,  $\geq$  3). Binary indications of sorority/fraternity involvement and relationship status were also included as social predictors of interest (Welsh et al., 2019).

# 2.3. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the sociodemographic characteristics of the sample overall and stratified by syndemic factors and conditions. To visually describe the sample, the overlap of the syndemic factors was calculated and presented in a Venn diagram. While the total sample size (334,957) is reported, it should be noted that inferential analyses were conducted on only complete cases. Multiple imputation, assuming a multivariate normal distribution on 10 iterative sets, was conducted and compared to complete case analysis to ensure robustness of findings. Results revealed similar findings.

The outcomes were presented across each primary predictor (syndemic factors, conditions, and interactions). Associations between predictors and outcomes were assessed through multiple logistic regression for academic performance and multiple linear regression for QoL. Odds ratios (ORs) and beta-hats ( $\hat{\beta}$ ) with 95 % CIs were calculated to represent these associations. Each of the syndemic predictors, including the binary factor indications, their sum of conditions occurring, and their formal interaction categories, was fit individually with outcomes as well as adjusted for cofactors of interest. Formal interactions were tested between all syndemic factors (chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse). This included all two-way and three-way interactions totaling eight models with the two outcomes. All models were adjusted for cofactors of interest.

Goodness-of-fit (GOF) for logistic regression was assessed visually with Pearson and Deviance residuals and tested formally with Hosmer and Lemeshow's test, Stukel's test, and Osius and Rojek's test. Influential observations were assessed with DFBETAS. GOF for linear regression was assessed with residual diagnostics and influential observations were assessed with Cook's distance (D). Multicollinearity, for both models, was examined using Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) analysis with VIFs of 10 or higher indicating multicollinearity. The predictive ability of models was assessed with the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) for logistic regression and  $R^2$  for linear regression. AUC and  $R^2$  were calculated across all models and were used to assess if models accounting for interactions exhibited greater predictive ability than without. All hypothesis tests were two-sided with a significance level of 5 %. SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, INC) was used for all analyses.

#### 3. Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants by syndemic factors (opioid misuse, chronic pain, and mental health problems). Of the 334,957 students included in this study, 4.0 % (13,386) reported opioid misuse, 7.1 % (23,249) reported a diagnosis of chronic pain, and 75.8 % (252,042) reported a diagnosis of a mental illness or significant feelings of sadness, loneliness, or stress. Fig. 1 displays the overlap of syndemic factors with 0.04 % (121) of students having reported opioid misuse and chronic pain only, 2.88 % (9,424) reported opioid misuse and mental health problems only, 5.63 % (18,453) reported mental health problems and chronic pain only, and 0.63 % (2,066) reported all three conditions. All characteristics were significantly different between syndemic factor and condition groups (Table 1 and Supplement Table 2). Pertaining to the outcomes, 57.3 % (189,500) of students reported impeded academic performance, and the mean (SD) QoL score was 84.5 (9.7) (Table 2).

# 3.1. Syndemic factors

Table 2 shows the associations between syndemic factors and outcomes. Mental health problems had the strongest association with the outcomes. Students with mental health problems had higher odds of impeded academic performance (aOR = 3.19, 95 % CI: 3.13, 3.25) and lower average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -8.48$ , 95 % CI: -8.54, -8.42) compared to those without mental health problems. Students with chronic pain showed higher odds of impeded academic performance (aOR = 2.10, 95 % CI: 2.03, 2.18) and lower average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -1.34$ , 95 % CI: -1.44, -1.24) compared to students without chronic pain. Students who engaged in opioid misuse had higher odds of impeded academic performance (aOR = 1.28, 95 % CI: 1.23, 1.34) and lower average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -1.77$ , 95 % CI: -1.90, -1.65) compared to students who had not engaged in opioid misuse.

#### 3.2. Syndemic conditions

Table 2 shows the associations between the outcomes and the composite variable summing the three binary syndemic factors (syndemic conditions). Compared to students with none of the syndemic factors, as the number of syndemic conditions increased (1–3), the odds of impeded academic performance also increased (1: aOR: 3.14, 95 % CI: 3.08, 3.20; 2: aOR: 5.68, 95 % CI: 5.48, 5.88; 3: aOR: 7.38, 95 % CI: 6.52, 8.35). Compared to students with none of the syndemic factors, as the number of syndemic conditions increased (1–3), average QoL scores continually declined (1:  $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -8.21$ , 95 % CI: -8.27, -8.15; 2:  $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -9.97$ , 95 % CI: -10.07, -9.87; 3:  $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -12.05$ , 95 % CI: -12.37, -11.73).

# 3.3. Syndemic interactions

Table 2 also shows the associations between the seven interactions of the syndemic factors (chronic pain only, mental health only, opioid misuse only, chronic pain & mental health only, chronic pain & opioid use only, mental health & opioid use only, and the interaction of all three). The interaction of two or more syndemic factors produced higher odds of impeded academic performance and larger declines in average OoL compared to syndemic factors alone, with mental health problems having a strong effect on the interactions. The interaction of chronic pain and mental health problems only had higher odds of impeded academic performance (aOR = 6.82, 95 % CI: 6.52, 7.12) and larger declines in average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -9.89, 95 \%$  CI: -10.01, -9.77) than mental health problems or chronic pain alone. The interaction of mental health problems and opioid misuse only also resulted in higher odds of impeded academic performance (aOR = 4.21, 95 % CI: 4.00, 4.44) and larger declines in average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -10.27, 95$  % CI: -10.42, -10.11) than mental health problems or opioid misuse alone. Chronic

pain and opioid misuse alone showed moderate associations with academic performance, but the interaction of chronic pain and opioid misuse only showed increased odds of impeded academic performance (aOR: 2.73, 95 % CI: 1.86, 4.00). However, the interaction of chronic pain and opioid misuse had an insignificant association with QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -0.87$ , 95 % CI: -2.12, 0.38). The interaction of all three syndemic factors produced the highest odds of impeded academic performance (aOR: 7.30, 95 % CI: 6.45, 8.26) and the lowest average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -12.00$ , 95 % CI: -12.32, -11.69).

Table 3 shows the adjusted associations between the two-way and three-way interactions of the syndemic factors and the outcomes. Twoway interactions showed little deviations in the adjusted odds of impeded academic performance, but compounded effects were seen for QoL scores. Mental health problems again had a significant effect. Students with chronic pain had significantly lower average QoL when mental health problems were also present ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -1.54$ , 95 % CI: -1.66, -1.43) compared to when they were not ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -0.19, 95$  % CI: -0.36, -0.02). A similar effect was seen for opioid misuse, such that students reporting opioid misuse had significantly lower average QoL when they also experienced mental health problems ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -1.97, 95$ % CI: -2.11, -1.82) compared to when they did not ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -0.92, 95$ % CI: -1.14, -0.71). The presence of either chronic pain or opioid misuse for a student experiencing mental health problems also affected QoL. A student experiencing mental health problems had lower average QoL when chronic pain was also present ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -9.15, 95 \%$  CI: -9.50,-8.79) compared to when it was not ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -8.52, 95$  % CI: -8.58, -8.46). The same effect was seen for the interaction between mental health problems and opioid misuse, such that students experiencing mental health problems had lower average QoL when opioid use was also present ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -9.04, 95 \%$  CI: -9.49, -8.59) than when it was not  $(\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -8.52, 95 \% \text{ CI:} -8.58, -8.46).$ 

Mental health also had a significant effect on the three-way interactions. For students with chronic pain, experiencing mental health problems but not opioid misuse was associated with a lower average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -1.42$ , 95 % CI: -1.54, -1.31) compared to experiencing only chronic pain ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADI} = -0.19, 95 \%$  CI: -0.37, -0.02). The added experience of opioid misuse without mental health problems for students with chronic pain was associated with relatively no change in average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -0.03, 95 \%$  CI: -0.91, 0.86) compared to chronic pain only, yet the addition of both mental health problems and opioid misuse resulted in the lowest average QoL among students with chronic pain ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -2.09, 95$  % CI: -2.52, -1.66). For students misusing opioids, experiencing mental health problems but not chronic pain resulted in lower average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -1.83, 95$  % CI: -1.99, -1.67) than for opioid misuse alone ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -0.94, 95$  % CI: -1.16, -0.72). Although the addition of chronic pain but not mental health problems for students misusing opioids was not associated with a significantly different average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -0.56$ , 95 % CI: -1.41, 0.28), the presence of both mental health problems and chronic pain was associated with the lowest average QoL among students misusing opioids ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} =$ -2.05, 95 % CI: -2.46, -1.64). For students experiencing mental health problems, average QoL was lowered with the addition of chronic pain alone ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -9.06, 95$  % CI: -9.42, -8.71) and opioid misuse alone  $(\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -8.96, 95 \%$  CI: -9.41, -8.51) compared to mental health problems alone ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ} = -8.48, 95 \%$  CI: -8.54, -8.42). While the presence of both chronic pain and opioid misuse among students experiencing mental health problems resulted in a lower average QoL ( $\hat{\beta}_{ADJ}$ = -8.90, 95 % CI: -10.75, -7.05) compared to mental health problems alone, this reduction was not significant and smaller than those of the addition of either chronic pain or opioid misuse alone.

#### Table 1

		Opioid misuse	1		Chronic pain <sup>b</sup>			Mental healt	h problems <sup>c</sup>	
	Total n <sup>d</sup>	No <i>n</i> (% <sup>e</sup> )	Yes n (% <sup>e</sup> )	p-value <sup>f</sup>	No n (% <sup>e</sup> )	Yes n (% <sup>e</sup> )	p-value <sup>f</sup>	No n (% <sup>e</sup> )	Yes <i>n</i> (% <sup>e</sup> )	p-
	(% <sup>e</sup> )									value
tal	334,957	318,254	13,386		305,263	23,249		80,678	252,042	
	(100)	(96.0 <sup>g</sup> )	(4.0 <sup>g</sup> )		(92.9 <sup>8</sup> )	(7.1 <sup>8</sup> )		$(24.3^{g})$	(75.8 <sup>g</sup> )	
e				< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.0
8–20 years	146,285	142,793	3038		137,638	7347		32,968	113,278	
20 years	(44.4)	(45.4)	(23.0)		(45.4)	(31.9)		(41.3)	(45.5)	
21. 24 mage			(23.0) 3770							
21–24 years	105,050	100,904			97,606	6464		25,311	79,699	
	(31.9)	(32.1)	(28.6)		(32.2)	(28.1)		(31.7)	(32.0)	
25–29 years	41,485	38,847	2480		37,849	3197		10,851	30,617	
	(12.6)	(12.2)	(18.8)		(12.5)	(13.9)		(13.6)	(12.3)	
30+ years	36,344	32,274	3905		29,983 (9.9)	6024		10,668	25,665	
	(11.0)	(10.3)	(29.6)			(26.1)		(13.4)	(10.2)	
nder identity				< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.0
Lisgender female	215,469	207,370	7316		196,529	16,719		48,242	167,143	
	(65.0)	(65.4)	(55.1)		(64.5)	(72.0)		(60.0)	(66.6)	
Cisgender male	103,486	97,906	5107		97,839	4506		31,572	71,837	
lisgender male					-					
	(31.2)	(30.9)	(38.5)		(32.1)	(19.4)		(39.2)	(28.6)	
Gender diverse	11,167	10,369 (3.3)	734 (5.5)		9279 (3.0)	1742 (7.5)		534 (0.7)	10,623 (4.2)	
	(3.4)									
Fransgender	1383 (0.4)	1259 (0.4)	118 (0.9)		1128 (0.4)	232 (1.0)		45 (0.1)	1337 (0.5)	
ual orientation				< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.0
Ieterosexual	251,788	242,167	8701		234,072	15,099		72,386	179,283	
	(76.2)	(76.7)	(65.7)		(77.0)	(65.3)		(90.3)	(71.7)	
Cay /Leshian										
Gay/Lesbian	13,606	12,804 (4.1)	749 (5.7)		12,261 (4.0)	1199 (5.2)		1851 (2.3)	11,746 (4.7)	
	(4.1)									
Bisexual	37,039	34,658	2234		33,043	3688		3683 (4.6)	33,350	
	(11.2)	(11.0)	(16.8)		(10.9)	(15.9)			(13.3)	
Other	27,984	26,290 (8.2)	1557		24,538 (8.1)	3152		2247 (2.8)	25,723	
	(8.5)		(11.8)			(13.6)			(10.3)	
ce	(0.0)		(1110)	< 0.001		(1010)	< 0.001		(1010)	< 0.0
	(001 (0 1)	(000, (0, 0))	FF0 (4 0)	<0.001	(070 (0.0)	000 (0 ()	<0.001	14(0(10)	FF07 (0 0)	<0.0
I/AN <sup>h</sup>	6981 (2.1)	6388 (2.0)	559 (4.2)		6078 (2.0)	832 (3.6)		1468 (1.8)	5507 (2.2)	
lispanic or Latino/a/x	48,073	46,142	1769		44,852	2757		11,310	36,742	
	(14.3)	(14.5)	(13.2)		(14.7)	(11.9)		(14.0)	(14.6)	
NH <sup>i</sup> Asian or Asian American	46,284	45,399	629 (4.7)		44,067	1517 (6.5)		11,752	34,487	
	(13.7)	(14.2)			(14.4)			(14.6)	(13.7)	
NH <sup>i</sup> Biracial or multiracial	17,360	16,722 (5.3)	851 (6.4)		16,100 (5.3)	1330 (5.7)		3755 (4.7)	13,870 (5.5)	
an bildela of mathactar	(5.2)	10,722 (0.0)	001 (0.1)		10,100 (0.0)	1000 (0.7)		0/00(11/)	10,070 (0.0)	
UII <sup>i</sup> Dlash		1E 076 (E 0)	404 (2.7)		1E 24E (E 0)	004 (4 1)		44E2 (E E)	10 107 (4 0)	
NH <sup>1</sup> Black	17,640	15,976 (5.0)	494 (3.7)		15,345 (5.0)	984 (4.1)		4453 (5.5)	12,107 (4.8)	
	(5.2)									
NH <sup>1</sup> Other	10,871	8386 (2.6)	413 (3.0)		7094 (2.3)	597 (2.6)		2062 (2.6)	6738 (2.7)	
	(3.2)									
NH <sup>i</sup> White	187,997	178,734	8642		171,233	15,190		45,727	142,203	
	(56.1)	(56.2)	(64.6)		(56.1)	(65.3)		(56.7)	(56.3)	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	539 (0.2)	507 (0.2)	29 (0.2)		494 (0.2)	42 (0.2)		151 (0.2)	388 (0.2)	
slander	009 (0.2)	007 (0.2)	2) (0.2)		191 (0.2)	12 (0.2)		101 (0.2)	000 (0.2)	
				0.001			0.001			
vey Year				< 0.001			< 0.001			<0.0
019	38,679	36,780	1676		35,607	2486		10,749	27,768	
	(11.6)	(11.6)	(12.5)		(11.76)	(10.7)		(13.3)	(11.0)	
2020	63,680	60,554	2702		58,384	4405		16,615	46,829	
	(19.0)	(19.0)	(20.2)		(19.1)	(19.0)		(20.6)	(18.6)	
2021	129,693	122,474	5351		118,115	8435		30,336	98,019	
	(38.7)	(38.5)	(40.0)		(38.7)	(36.3)		(37.6)	(38.9)	
2022										
2022	102,905	98,446	3657		93,157	7923		22,978	79,426	
	(30.7)	(30.9)	(27.3)		(30.5)	(34.0)		(28.5)	(31.5)	
Geographic Region				< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.0
Midwest	73,421	70,472	2424		67,461	4812		18,662	54,458	
	(21.9)	(22.1)	(18.1)		(22.1)	(20.7)		(23.1)	(21.6)	
Vortheast	74,230	71,625	1961		68,232	4540		17,829	55,991	
	(22.1)	(22.5)	(14.7)		(22.4)	(19.5)		(22.1)	(22.2)	
Courth										
South	98,367	93,003	3915		89,092	6833		24,489	72,722	
	(29.4)	(29.2)	(29.2)		(29.1)	(29.4)		(30.4)	(28.9)	
West	88,939	83,154	5086		80,478	7064		19,698	68,871	
	(26.6)	(26.2)	(38.0)		(26.4)	(30.4)		(24.4)	(27.3)	
pe of institution	-	-	-	< 0.001			< 0.001			<0.0
2-year	11,578	10,695 (3.4)	808 (6.0)		10,147 (3.3)	1220 (5.3)		2477 (3.1)	9061 (3.6)	
- Jean		10,050 (3.4)	000 (0.0)		10,177 (3.3)	1220 (3.3)		47// (3.1)	5001 (5.0)	
	(3.5)		10 5-5							
f or more years	323,379	307,559	12,578		295,116	22,029		78,201	242,981	
	(96.5)	(96.6)	(94.0)		(96.7)	(94.7)		(96.9)	(96.4)	
				<0.001			< 0.001			<0.0
collment status				< 0.001						<0.u
	300.973	288 760	10.991	<0.001	278.065	19,688	0.001	72,549	228 252	<0.t
	300,973	288,760	10,991	<0.001	278,065	19,688	(01001	72,549	228,252	<0.ι
<b>rollment status</b> Pull-time Part-time	300,973 (91.5) 28,045	288,760 (91.8) 25,806 (8.2)	10,991 (83.8) 2123	<0.001	278,065 (91.9) 24,512 (8.1)	19,688 (86.0) 3213		72,549 (90.9) 7283 (9.1)	228,252 (91.7) 20,742 (8.3)	<0.0

(continued on next page)

#### Table 1 (continued)

space <th< th=""><th>Table 1 (continued)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	Table 1 (continued)										
$ \begin{array}{ c c c } &$					< 0.001			<0.001			<0.001
10-10-10103-0991/4038721.50(24.4)(2.5)(2.5)(2.9)103-0991/40387387(3.10)<	$\leq 5$	,	19,902 (6.3)	1230 (9.3)		19,214 (6.4)	1684 (7.3)		5000 (6.2)	16,188 (6.5)	
sh5.ac(3.1.4)<	>5–10		· ·						,	,	
<table-container>  ≥  2.8  2.8  2.8  2.8  2.8  2.8  2.8  2.8  3.82  </table-container>	>10–15										
≥202052.0352.0362.0362.0462.0362.04 <t< td=""><td>&gt;15–20</td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	>15–20	,									
No304,489291,04412,18429,78221,42473,163231,137Yes26,9825,773 (k)1900 (k2)91,80(92,4)(92,4)(92,1)(91,1) <td><math>\geq</math>20</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>39,265</td> <td></td>	$\geq$ 20	,								39,265	
n(91,9)(91,9)(91,8)(91,8)(92,9)(92,7)(91,2)(92,1)Yes(6,2)25,773 (1)1090 (8.2)175 (1)175 (1)176 (1)176 (1)176 (1)(8,1)(7,1)(	Part of fraternity/sorority				0.7520			0.0012			< 0.001
<table-container>Yes26,98526,98726,973 (8.1)190 (8.2)24,905 (8.2)755 (7.6)74919,766 (7.6)74919,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)74019,767 (7.6)740</table-container>	No										
On-campus116,922112,0722536107,042593527,26687,61537,616(35.0)(35.2)(10.0)(35.1)(25.0)(33.9)(34.8)(34.8)(63.4)(63.2)(0.340193.34016.44051.922(36.0)140(0.10)(63.2)(7.3)(63.4)(7.9)(64.4)(36.7)149(0.10)126.0(5)1416 (0.5)197 (0.5)224 (1.0)(10.02)149 (0.6)149(0.10)126.0(5)1416 (0.5)29 (2.2)313 (1.0)204 (1.0)180 (0.2)149 (0.6)160 (1.0)Relationship status52.00(35.4)(41.1)(52.6)(44.2)(43.5)(54.7)(50.7)Relationship status(52.0)(52.4)(41.1)(52.6)(44.2)(35.0)(54.7)(50.7)	Yes	26,985		1090 (8.2)			1755 (7.6)		7749		
n(35.0)(35.2)(19.0)(35.1)(25.6)(33.9)(34.8)Offcampus212,097200,83010,340193,34016,44851,922160,023Unhoused1626 (0.5)1416 (0.5)199 (1.5)1371 (0.5)224 (1.0)180 (0.2)1391 (0.5)Other378 (1.1)378 (1.1)201 (1.5)1371 (0.5)224 (1.0)180 (0.2)1391 (0.5)Other378 (1.1)378 (1.1)378 (1.1)3151 (0.1)606 (2.5)1191 (1.5)258 (1.0)Belationship status5453160,10710,24634,92837,269Single172,307166,1185453160,10710,24634,928135,55-0.001Partnered152,00(52,4)(41.1)(52,6)(44.2)(43.5)(54.7)-0.001Partnered163,00(76,0)7815144,15112,93846.3013,555-0.001Private26,804(77,12)10,349266,50418,60090,40(87.4)-0.001Private88,604277,11210,34922,442 (7.5)356216,50414,804-0.014Public26,26523,00.90170,5022,442 (7.5)356264,904(36,04)21,816,81Public10,0789292 (3.0)73 (5.6)22,442 (7.5)36294,91480,40438,6353,664Public10,078230,008100,081252,00892,04111,012084 (2.6) </td <td>Housing</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td>	Housing				< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
Off-campus212,097200,83010,340193,34016,48151,92116,02316,021(63,4)(70,9)(63,4)(70,9)(64,4)(83,6)(70,9)(84,0)(80,02)139 (1.5)(10,00)378 (1.0)199 (1.5)199 (1.5)315 (1.0)24 (1.0)180 (0.2)139 (0.5)149 (0.5)(10,01)378 (1.0)378 (1.1)290 (2.2)315 (1.0)60 (2.5)191 (1.5)258 (1.0)700 (1.5)Relationship status	On-campus	116,922	112,072	2536		107,042	5935		27,266	87,615	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(35.0)	(35.2)	(19.0)		(35.1)	(25.6)		(33.9)	(34.8)	
Unhoused Other1626.0.51416.0.5199.1.51371.0.5224.1.0180.0.2149.0.6.2Other370.1.0370.1.0370.1.0370.1.0370.1.0370.1.03280.1.0Relationship statusT<< <th< td=""><td>Off-campus</td><td>212,097</td><td>200,830</td><td>10,340</td><td></td><td>193,340</td><td>16,448</td><td></td><td>51,922</td><td>160,023</td><td></td></th<>	Off-campus	212,097	200,830	10,340		193,340	16,448		51,922	160,023	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(63.4)	(63.2)	(77.3)		(63.4)	(70.9)		(64.4)	(63.6)	
Relationship status<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<< <th< td=""><td>Unhoused</td><td>1626 (0.5)</td><td>1416 (0.5)</td><td>199 (1.5)</td><td></td><td>1371 (0.5)</td><td>224 (1.0)</td><td></td><td>180 (0.2)</td><td>1439 (0.6)</td><td></td></th<>	Unhoused	1626 (0.5)	1416 (0.5)	199 (1.5)		1371 (0.5)	224 (1.0)		180 (0.2)	1439 (0.6)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Other	3780 (1.1)	3478 (1.1)	290 (2.2)		3135 (1.0)	606 (2.5)		1191 (1.5)	2585 (1.0)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Relationship status				< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Single	172,307	166,118	5453		160,107	10,246		34,928	137,269	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(52.0)	(52.4)	(41.1)		(52.6)	(44.2)		(43.5)	(54.7)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \textbf{Insurance status} & & < & < & < & < & < & < & < & < & < $	Partnered	159,102	150,640	7815		144,515	12,938		45,402	113,595	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(48.0)	(47.6)	(58.9)		(47.4)	(55.8)		(56.5)	(45.3)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Insurance status				< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Private	288,604	277,112	10,349		266,890	18,660		71,823	216,596	
		(88.1)	(88.5)	(78.6)		(88.6)	(81.0)		(90.4)	(87.4)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Public	-	24,200 (7.7)			22,442 (7.5)			5068 (6.4)	21,185 (8.5)	
	Uninsured		9292 (3.0)	737 (5.6)		9247 (3.1)	711 (3.1)		2084 (2.6)	7978 (3.2)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Don't know	2652 (0.8)	2530 (0.8)	100 (0.8)		2525 (0.8)	92 (0.4)		469 (0.6)	2180 (0.9)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. of interpersonal				< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	84,421	82,015	2148		80,484	3024		33,863	50,546	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(25.5)	(25.9)			(26.4)	(13.0)		(42.1)	(20.1)	
2         66,305         63,498         2616         60,841         4869         13,636         52,666           (20.0)         (20.0)         (19.7)         (19.9)         (21.0)         (16.9)         (21.0)           ≥3         106,891         100,269         6222         94,776         11,106         12,584         94,297	1	73,822	71,301	2295		68,880	4219		20,345	53,466	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(22.3)	(22.5)	(17.2)		(22.6)	(18.2)		(25.3)	(21.3)	
≥3 106,891 100,269 6222 94,776 11,106 12,584 94,297	2	66,305	63,498	2616		60,841	4869		13,636	52,666	
		(20.0)	(20.0)			(19.9)	(21.0)		(16.9)	(21.0)	
(32.2) (31.6) (46.9) (31.1) (47.8) (15.7) (37.6)	$\geq 3$	-	-			-			-		
		(32.2)	(31.6)	(46.9)		(31.1)	(47.8)		(15.7)	(37.6)	

<sup>a</sup> Opioid misuse includes illicit/non-prescription and prescription opioids.

<sup>b</sup> Chronic pain includes those with a diagnosis of chronic pain.

<sup>c</sup> Mental health problems includes those with a diagnosis of at least one mental health conditions and/or those reporting significant feelings of hopelessness, loneliness, and sadness.

<sup>d</sup> n = Sample size; Not all counts add up to total because of missing values.

<sup>e</sup> Column percentage.

<sup>f</sup> Chi-square test for independence; Highlighted P-values indicate statistical insignificance at the 5 % significance level.

<sup>g</sup> %'s out of total (n = 334,957).

- <sup>h</sup> American Indian/Alaska Native.
- <sup>i</sup> Non-Hispanic.

<sup>j</sup> Average of hours spent in a typical week attending classes and hours spent studying.

# 3.4. Contextual factors

and significantly reduced average QoL.

Supplement Figs. 1 and 2 show the adjusted characteristic associations with impeded academic performance and QoL as responses. Gender diverse and transgender students had significantly higher odds of impeded academic performance and lower average QoL compared to cisgender males. Bisexual, gay/lesbian, and students of unlisted sexual orientations faced similar worsened outcomes compared to heterosexual students. American Indian/Alaska Native (AI/AN), biracial/multiracial, and students of unlisted races had worse outcomes compared to Non-Hispanic White students. Unhoused and uninsured students also faced poorer outcomes compared to students living on campus and students with private insurance, respectively. Each additional interpersonal problem further increased the odds of impeded academic performance

#### 4. Discussion

This study is the first to evaluate whether syndemic effects exist between the three selected health conditions (i.e., chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse) and academic performance and quality of life among college students. Our findings reveal a syndemic effect, wherein the interactions of the three conditions exacerbate negative outcomes for college students. This analysis further expands the existing literature by applying the syndemic theory to college students, which few have done thus far (Orchowski et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2019; Turpin et al., 2023). Additionally, unlike previous research on syndemics among college students, this analysis utilized both a



Fig. 1. Venn Diagram<sup>a</sup> for opioid misuse, chronic pain, and mental health problems

<sup>a</sup> Each value is presented n (%). Not all counts add up to total because of missing values. <sup>b</sup> Opioid misuse includes illicit/non-prescription and prescription opioids. <sup>c</sup> Chronic pain includes those with a diagnosis of chronic pain. <sup>d</sup> Mental health problems includes those with a diagnosis of at least one mental health condition and/or those reporting significant feelings of hopelessness, loneliness, and sadness.

summative and interactive variable to accurately depict syndemic interactions (Tsai, 2018). Although our findings of a syndemic effect cannot be compared to earlier studies, individual relationships between our syndemic factors and the outcomes are consistent with previous research (McCarthy et al., 2021; Asher BlackDeer et al., 2023; Riboldi et al., 2022; Prevatt and Young, 2014; Evans et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2020; Ribeiro et al., 2018; Ellis et al., 2020; Harries et al., 2018; Meshesha et al., 2017; Rhee and Rosenheck, 2019). Mental health problems affected nearly 75 % of college students in our study, which is consistent with the National Healthy Minds Study (Lipson et al., 2022a). The prevalence of opioid misuse (4 %) and chronic pain (7 %) were consistent with recent national studies on college students as well (National Health Interview Survey, 2019; Patrick et al., 2022). Overall, the findings of this study are in a comparable range to past research.

# 4.1. Interplay and amplification of syndemic factors

The relationship between chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse in this analysis aligns with Merrill Singer's syndemic theory (Singer et al., 2017). First, there is a clustering of two or more health conditions, shown by the nearly 10 % of college students in this sample experiencing any combination of chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse. This clustering is a cycle of suffering for college students, illustrated by the interplay between the health conditions. For instance, college students with chronic pain often experience heightened psychological issues due to the physical and emotional toll of their condition, including impaired functioning, sleep disruptions, and social isolation (Serbic et al., 2020, 2021). Additionally, students with chronic pain or mental health difficulties may seek solace in substance use, including opioid misuse (Welsh et al., 2019; Groenewald et al., 2019). Opioid misuse can then lead to an increased risk of mental health difficulties (Martins et al., 2009) and an eventual increase in pain for chronic pain patients (Carpenter et al., 2019), thereby creating a harmful cycle between chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid

misuse.

Satisfying the second criterion of the syndemic theory, the interactions among the three health conditions lead to an increased health burden, shown by both a decline in academic performance and quality of life. The combined presence of chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse is therefore a syndemic on college campuses and should be treated as such. A syndemic requires a deviation from the traditional healthcare approach of treating these conditions separately and calls for an integrated strategy that considers the complex interactions between the three health conditions (Singer et al., 2017). It has been noted that syndemics mainly exist theoretically, and it is challenging to implement the theory practically (Weaver and Kaiser, 2022), but institutions can begin by understanding these complex interactions and what specific drivers may exist in their local and societal environments (Mendenhall et al., 2022).

Significant characteristic associations were observed with the outcomes, fulfilling the third criterion of the syndemic theory that contextual factors create conditions for the syndemic interactions to lead to worsened health outcomes. Minority student populations, including gender minority, sexual minority, and racial minority students, as well as students struggling with housing, health insurance, and interpersonal problems experienced increased odds of impeded academic performance and reduced average QoL. Marginalization has been identified as a contextual factor to worsen syndemic effects in past literature, citing drivers such as discrimination, stigma, and systemic racism, homophobia, and transphobia (Quinn et al., 2021; Wesp et al., 2019; Williams and Vermund, 2021). Much research incorporating the syndemic theory has also explored the compounding effects of homelessness and financial stress, as each are barriers to obtaining care (Bromberg et al., 2020; Mendenhall et al., 2017; Quinn et al., 2018). Additionally, past evidence has found that increasing interpersonal problems can negatively affect each facet of the syndemic identified in this study (Qeadan et al., 2021; Sun, 2023; Wickramaratne et al., 2022). By considering the contextual factors that may worsen the syndemic of chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse among college students, institutions can bolster their efforts in combatting it.

# 4.2. Mental health as a central factor

The findings of this study underscore the profound impact of mental health on academic and life quality aspects, with mental health problems being associated with the worst outcomes in this study. Special attention should therefore be focused on improving mental health resources in college environments. Notable barriers to mental health services on college campuses exist, including trouble recognizing symptoms, a preference for self-reliance, and a lack of time (Ebert et al., 2019; Gulliver et al., 2010). One of the most significant barriers identified across studies, however, is the stigma around mental health (Ebert et al., 2019; Gulliver et al., 2010; Turosak and Siwierka, 2021). Hurtful remarks from fellow students and staff on campus, misunderstandings about mental illness, and the difficulty in separating stigma from the lived experiences of mental illness are all stigma-related barriers to mental health services voiced by college students (Turosak and Siwierka, 2021). Institutions can combat these sources of stigma by raising awareness of mental health problems often faced by college students to mitigate the influence of mental health problems on worsening academic performance and quality of life (Stuart, 2016).

# 4.3. Chronic pain management in college students

Chronic pain was associated with reduced academic functioning and quality of life, which is consistent with previous literature (Serbic et al., 2021). A possible driver of these relationships is the lack of social interactions commonly experienced by students with chronic pain (Serbic et al., 2020), which is similarly associated with decreased mental well-being (Wickramaratne et al., 2022) and reduced academic

# Table 2

Odds of outcomes by predictors and their syndemic interactions.

	Impeded acade	nic performance <sup>a</sup>			Quality of life <sup>b</sup>					
	Unadjusted		Adjusted <sup>c</sup>		Unadjusted		Adjusted <sup>c</sup>			
	n <sup>d</sup> (% <sup>e</sup> )	OR (95 % CI)	aOR (95 % CI)	AUC	Mean (SD)	Beta (95 % CI)	aBeta (95 % CI)	$R^2$		
Overall	189,500 (57.3 <sup>f</sup> )	-	_	-	84.5 (9.7)	-	-	-		
Syndemic factors Chronic pain <sup>®</sup>				0.761				0.453		
No	169,781 (55.7)	Ref.	Ref.		84.9 (9.3)	Ref.	Ref.			
Yes	18,037 (77.6)	2.76 (2.68, 2.85)	2.10 (2.03, 2.18)		80.8 (10.7)	-4.03 (-4.16, -3.91)	-1.34 (-1.44, -1.24)			
Mental illnessl <sup>h</sup>			r.							
No Yes	24,102 (30.0) 165,371 (66.0)	Ref. 4.52 (4.44, 4.60)	Ref. 3.19 (3.13, 3.25)		93.2 (4.9) 81.8 (8.9)	Ref. –11.46 (–11.53, –11.40)	Ref. -8.48 (-8.54, -8.42)			
Opioid misuse <sup>i</sup>										
No	179,723 (56.8)	Ref.	Ref.		84.7 (9.4)	Ref.	Ref.			
Yes	9073 (68.4)	1.65 (1.59, 1.71)	1.28 (1.23, 1.34)		80.5 (10.9)	-4.18 (-4.35, -4.02)	-1.77 (-1.90, -1.65)			
Syndemic conditions				0.759				0.444		
0 1	22,119 (29.3) 141,647 (63.8)	Ref. 4.25 (4.18, 4.33)	Ref. 3.14 (3.08, 3.20)		93.3 (4.8) 82.4 (8.6)	Ref. -10.94 (-11.00, -10.87)	Ref. -8.21 (-8.27, -8.15)			
2	21,803 (77.9)	8.50 (8.23, 8.78)	5.68 (5.48, 5.88)		79.7 (9.9)	-13.63 (-13.74, -13.52)	-9.97 (-10.07, -9.87)			
3	1695 (82.2)	11.16 (9.95, 12.50)	7.38 (6.52, 8.35)		76.7 (12.3)	-16.60 (-16.96, -16.25)	-12.05 (-12.37, -11.73)			
Syndemic interactions				0.761				0.453		
None Chronic pain only	22,119 (29.3) 1192 (47.2)	Ref. 2.15 (1.99, 2.33)	Ref. 2.10 (1.93, 2.29)		93.3 (4.8) 92.7 (5.1)	Ref. -0.59 (-0.90, -0.26)	Ref. -0.10 (-0.38, 0.18)			
Mental health only	139,947 (64.2)	4.33 (4.25, 4.41)	3.18 (3.12, 3.25)		82.2 (8.6)	-11.13 (-11.19, -11.06)	-8.41 (-8.47, -8.35)			
Opioid misuse only	508 (32.9)	1.18 (1.06, 1.31)	1.23 (1.09, 1.38)		92.2 (5.2)	-1.04 (-1.45, -0.64)	-0.87 (-1.23, -0.51)			
Chronic pain & mental health only	15,030 (81.5)	10.59 (10.18, 11.03)	6.82 (6.52, 7.12)		79.6 (9.9)	-13.66 (-13.78, -13.53)	-9.89 (-10.01, -9.77)			
Chronic pain & opioid misuse only	57 (47.1)	2.15 (1.50, 3.07)	2.73 (1.86, 4.00)		92.6 (5.8)	-0.71 (-2.13, 0.72)	-0.87 (-2.12, 0.38)			
Mental health & opioid misuse only	6716 (71.4)	6.00 (5.72, 6.29)	4.21 (4.00, 4.44)		79.6 (9.7)	-13.74 (-13.91, -13.57)	-10.27 (-10.42, -10.11)			
Chronic pain, mental health, & opioid misuse	1695 (82.2)	11.16 (9.95, 12.50)	7.30 (6.45, 8.26)		76.7 (12.3)	-16.60 (-16.95, -16.25)	-12.00 (-12.32, -11.69)			

Highlighted results indicate insignificance.

<sup>a</sup> Academic performance is impeded when participants identified any qualifying indication as negatively impacting performance in a class or delaying progress towards degree.

<sup>b</sup> QoL is a 0–100 scale with higher scores indicating higher QoL. The measure was constructed from various Likert scales from 4 domains: physical, social, environmental, and mental.

<sup>c</sup> Syndemic factors and conditions were adjusted by age, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, survey year, geographic region, type of institution, enrollment status, involvement in a fraternity or sorority, insurance status, relationship status, housing type, number of hours spent on academics, and a count of interpersonal problems.

 $^{d}\,$  n = Frequency; Not all counts add up to total because of missing values.

<sup>e</sup> Row percentage.

 $^{\rm f}$  %'s out of total (n = 334,957).

<sup>g</sup> Chronic pain includes those with a diagnosis of chronic pain.

<sup>h</sup> Mental illness includes those with a diagnosis of at least one mental health condition and/or those reporting significant feelings of hopelessness, loneliness, and sadness.

<sup>i</sup> Opioid misuse includes illicit/non-prescription and prescription opioids.

<sup>j</sup> Participants were grouped by whether they fit the criteria for none, one, two, or three of the syndemic factors.

performance (Senter, 2024). Simply promoting increased social interactions among these students, however, may put them at risk of substance use initiation, a common social practice in college settings (Welsh et al., 2019). Since students with chronic pain are already at an increased risk for abusing substances (Welsh et al., 2019; Serbic et al., 2021), institutions should ensure these students are socially adjusting to college without falling into unhealthy relationships involving repeated substance use. College advisors can be a source for students with chronic pain to collaborate with to learn about clubs or groups aligned with their interests to foster positive peer relationships (Houman and Stapley, 2013). Improving social support for students with chronic pain can eliminate a driver of developing or worsening mental health symptoms and opioid misuse, diminishing this syndemic effect on college campuses.

# 4.4. Opioid misuse and its implications

In this study, opioid misuse included both prescription and illicit use,

#### Table 3

Odds/betas of outcomes by predictors and their interactions.

	Impeded academic performance <sup>a</sup>			Quality of life score <sup>b</sup>			
	OR (95 % CI)	aOR <sup>c</sup> (95 % CI)	AUC	Beta (95 % CI)	aBeta <sup>c</sup> (95 % CI)	$\mathbb{R}^2$	
Two-way interactions							
Chronic pain <sup>d</sup>							
Chronic pain Yes v. No at Mental health $=$ Yes	2.43 (2.34, 2.52)	2.12 (2.04, 2.21)	0.760	-2.73 (-2.85, -2.60)	-1.54 (-1.66, -1.43)	0.452	
Chronic pain Yes v. No at Mental health $=$ No	2.14 (1.98, 2.31)	2.10 (1.93, 2.29)		-0.57 (-0.76, -0.39)	-0.19 (-0.36, -0.02)		
Chronic pain Yes v. No at Opioid misuse = Yes	2.11 (1.88, 2.36)	1.90 (1.68, 2.16)	0.733	-3.77 (-4.26, -3.29)	-2.48 (-2.90, -2.06)	0.318	
Chronic pain Yes v. No at Opioid misuse = No	2.76 (2.67, 2.85)	2.33 (2.25, 2.42)		-3.82 (-3.95, -3.69)	-2.01 (-2.12, -1.90)		
Mental health <sup>e</sup>	2.00)	2112)					
Mental health Yes v. No at Chronic pain = Yes	4.96 (4.56,	3.23 (2.95,	0.760	-13.37 (-13.77,	-9.15 (-9.50, -8.79)	0.452	
include restrict at chilome paint res	5.39)	3.54)	017 00	-12.97)	5110 ( 5100, 0175)	0.102	
Mental health Yes v. No at Chronic pain $=$ No	4.37 (4.29,	3.20 (3.14,		-11.22 (-11.28,	-8.52 (-8.58, -8.46)		
	4.45)	3.26)		-11.15)			
Mental health Yes v. No at Opioid misuse = Yes	5.33 (4.78,	3.48 (3.10,	0.757	-13.36 (-13.86,	-9.04 (-9.49, -8.59)	0.452	
r i i i i i i i r	5.94)	3.92)		-12.85)	,		
Mental health Yes v. No at Opioid misuse = No	4.46 (4.39,	3.24 (3.18,		-11.33 (-11.40,	-8.52 (-8.58, -8.46)		
-	4.54)	3.30)		-11.27)			
Opioid misuse <sup>f</sup>							
Opioid misuse Yes v. No at Chronic pain = Yes	1.19 (1.07,	1.18 (1.04,	0.733	-3.64 (-4.11, -3.18)	-2.45 (-2.85, -2.04)	0.318	
	1.33)	1.33)					
Opioid misuse Yes v. No at Chronic $pain = No$	1.57 (1.50,	1.44 (1.38,		-3.68 (-3.86, -3.51)	-2.45 (-2.61, -2.30)		
	1.63)	1.51)					
Opioid misuse Yes v. No at Mental health = Yes	1.44 (1.38,	1.34 (1.28,	0.757	-3.03 (-3.20, -2.87)	-1.97 (-2.11, -1.82)	0.452	
	1.50)	1.40)					
Opioid misuse Yes v. No at Mental health $=$ No	1.21 (1.09,	1.24 (1.11,		-1.01 (-1.24, -0.77)	-0.92 (-1.14, -0.71)		
	1.34)	1.39)					
Three-way interactions Chronic pain <sup>d</sup>							
$Chronic \ pain \ Yes \ v. \ No \ at \ Mental \ health = Yes \ and \ Opioid \ misuse =$	1.86 (1.65,	1.73 (1.52,	0.761	-2.87 (-3.35, -2.38)	-2.09 (-2.52, -1.66)	0.453	
Yes	2.10)	1.98)					
$Chronic \ pain \ Yes \ v. \ No \ at \ Mental \ health = Yes \ and \ Opioid \ misuse =$	2.45 (2.36,	2.14 (2.05,		-2.53 (-2.66, -2.40)	-1.42 (-1.54, -1.31)		
No	2.54)	2.23)					
$Chronic \ pain \ Yes \ v. \ No \ at \ Mental \ health = No \ and \ Opioid \ misuse =$	1.82 (1.25,	2.22 (1.49,		0.34 (-0.63, 1.31)	-0.03 (-0.91, 0.86)		
Yes	2.64)	3.31)					
Chronic pain Yes v. No at Mental health = No and Opioid misuse =	2.15 (1.99,	2.10 (1.93,		-0.59 (-0.78, -0.39)	-0.19 (-0.37, -0.02)		
No	2.33)	2.29)					
Mental health <sup>e</sup>							
Mental health Yes v. No at Chronic pain = Yes and Opioid misuse =	5.20 (3.58,	2.67 (1.79,	0.761	-15.90 (-18.11,	-8.90 (-10.75,	0.453	
Yes	7.56)	3.99)		-13.69)	-7.05)		
Mental health Yes v. No at Chronic pain = Yes and Opioid misuse =	4.93 (4.52,	3.25 (3.96,		-13.07 (-13.46,	-9.06 (-9.42, -8.71)		
No Mantal baalab Vacar, No at Chronic nain – No and Onicid misure	5.37)	3.57)		-12.68)	0.06 ( 0.41 0.51)		
Mental health Yes v. No at Chronic pain = No and Opioid misuse =	5.08 (4.53,	3.43 (3.03,		-12.69 (-13.19,	-8.96 (-9.41, -8.51)		
Yes Mental health Yes v. No at Chronic pain = No and Opioid misuse =	5.70) 4.33 (4.25,	3.88) 3.18 (3.12,		-12.20)	-8.48 (-8.54, -8.42)		
No	4.33 (4.23, 4.41)	3.25)		-11.13 (-11.19, -11.06)	-0.40 (-0.34, -0.42)		
Opioid misuse <sup>f</sup>	4.41)	3.23)		-11.00)			
Opioid misuse Yes v. No at Chronic pain = Yes and Mental health =	1.05 (0.94,	1.07 (0.94,	0.761	-2.95 (-3.41, -2.48)	-2.05 (-2.46, -1.64)	0.453	
Yes	1.19)	1.07 (0.94,	0.701	-2.95 (-3.41, -2.46)	-2.03 (-2.40, -1.04)	0.455	
Opioid misuse Yes v. No at Chronic pain = Yes and Mental health =	1.00 (0.69,	1.30 (0.88,		-0.12 (-1.05, 0.81)	-0.56 (-1.41, 0.28)		
No	1.44)	1.92)		0.12 ( 1.00, 0.01)	0.00 ( 1.11, 0.20)		
Opioid misuse Yes v. No at Chronic pain = No and Mental health =	1.39 (1.32,	1.32 (1.26,		-2.61 (-2.79, -2.43)	-1.83 (-1.99, -1.67)		
Yes	1.45)	1.39)		2.01 ( 2.75, 2.15)	1.00 ( 1.99, 1.07)		
Opioid misuse Yes v. No at Chronic pain = No and Mental health =	1.18 (1.06,	1.23 (1.09,		-1.04 (-1.29, -0.80)	-0.94 (-1.16, -0.72)		
No	1.31)	1.38)					

Highlighted results indicate insignificance.

<sup>a</sup> Academic performance is impeded when participants identified any qualifying indication as negatively impacting performance in a class or delaying progress towards degree.

<sup>b</sup> QoL is a 0–100 scale with higher scores indicating higher QoL. The measure was constructed from various Likert scales from 4 domains: physical, social, environmental, and mental.

<sup>c</sup> Interactions were adjusted by age, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, survey year, geographic region, type of institution, enrollment status, involvement in a fraternity or sorority, insurance status, relationship status, housing type, number of hours spent on academics, a count of interpersonal problems, and any significant interactions between factors.

<sup>d</sup> Chronic pain includes those with a diagnosis of chronic pain.

<sup>e</sup> Mental illness includes those with a diagnosis of at least one mental health condition and/or those reporting significant feelings of hopelessness, loneliness, and sadness.

<sup>f</sup> Opioid misuse includes illicit/non-prescription and prescription opioids.

which each contributed to the negative outcomes identified in this study. Students who misuse prescription opioids are more likely to experience depressive symptoms, anxiety, chronic pain, and academic difficulties compared to those who don't (Kerr et al., 2023). Students who misuse prescription opioids are also more likely to use illicit opioids, such as heroin or fentanyl (Harries et al., 2018). Illicit opioid use is similarly associated with the negative outcomes of prescription opioid misuse but to a greater amount (Kerr et al., 2023). Opioid misuse, from prescription misuse to illicit use, therefore exists on a continuum of risk and their effects on academic performance and quality of life should be given focused attention at colleges and universities. Promoting healthy approaches to both physical and psychological pain management, for instance, can be especially helpful in mitigating the syndemic identified in this study (Voepel-Lewis et al., 2018). Non-opioid medications, counseling, physical exercise, and a balanced diet are all examples of health-promoting behaviors that can help students with chronic pain and mental health difficulties instead of turning to substance use.

# 4.5. Institutional infrastructure and implications

While our findings underscore the importance of addressing chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse as a syndemic, it is important to acknowledge that many academic institutions are poorly equipped to provide adequate prevention or treatment services for these conditions. Mental health services on college campuses are often underfunded, overburdened, and limited in scope, leading to long wait times, inconsistent follow-up, and reduced access to care, particularly for students with complex or co-occurring needs (Watkins et al., 2012; Lipson et al., 2022b). This mismatch between student health burdens and institutional capacity may exacerbate the impact of syndemic interactions on academic and quality of life outcomes.

Substance use, in particular, remains a neglected area in many college health systems. Institutional responses are frequently constrained by liability concerns, reputational risk, and limited institutional policies, contributing to an unspoken "don't ask, don't tell" culture regarding substance misuse (Wechsler et al., 2000; Arria and DuPont, 2010). These dynamics may discourage open disclosure and delay timely identification or intervention, especially among students engaged in nonmedical use of prescription opioids.

Even when physical health services are accessible, pain management options on campus are often constrained. Students, particularly studentathletes, may receive opioid prescriptions as a first-line response due to the convenience of pharmacological treatment in settings where integrated or multidisciplinary care is unavailable (American College Health Association, 2016; Pettegrew, 2021; Paskvan, 2021). This practice can inadvertently elevate the risk for opioid misuse, especially when more holistic pain management strategies (e.g., physical therapy, behavioral interventions) are not provided.

Institutional characteristics such as enrollment size, healthcare staffing, and resource availability likely shape both the prevalence and institutional response to syndemic health burdens. While the ACHA-NCHA dataset includes a direct measure of institutional enrollment size, we did not adjust for it in our models due to multicollinearity with related structural variables already included (institution type, region, and enrollment status). Future research should examine how institutional size and healthcare capacity interact with syndemic conditions to inform tailored policy and programmatic interventions that align student needs with institutional infrastructure.

# 4.6. Strengths and limitations

A strength of the current study is the size of the cohort, which was made possible by the ACHA-NCHA III. This study included 334,957 college students, which is the largest college student cohort in the literature on syndemics. An additional strength of the current study is the analysis of the syndemic effect through a composite and interactive variable. While a composite variable provides powerful insights into the cumulative burden of health conditions, an interactive variable more accurately depicts their interactions, a crucial criterion of the syndemic theory (Zhang et al., 2019; Tsai and Burns, 2015; Tsai et al., 2017). These findings provide an understanding of interacting conditions on US college campuses to advance health and social research, clinical care, and prevention.

Limitations exist, however, in the current study. Students and institutions self-select to be included in the survey, so the representativeness of the sample may be limited. Institutions voluntarily choose to participate in the ACHA-NCHA, and administration protocols (e.g., census vs. sample) vary across campuses. As the ACHA-NCHA III dataset is not weighted at the national level, generalizability to all U.S. college students is limited and may be influenced by institutional characteristics or response rates. Institution-level response rates are not pooled or reported nationally, and response rates vary considerably by campus. As a result, the extent of selection bias cannot be precisely quantified. Additionally, the use of self-reported data introduces the potential for various response biases. For instance, the prevalences of chronic pain and opioid misuse may be underestimated as students may not have a diagnosis for their chronic pain symptoms and may be hesitant to report opioid misuse due to social desirability bias. Our opioid misuse variable reflects lifetime use, as assessed through items based on the World Health Organization's ASSIST (Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test), a validated instrument for identifying substance-related risk. However, the measure does not distinguish between recent and more distant misuse, which may limit our ability to isolate current substance-related impairment. Nonetheless, any lifetime misuse of heroin or prescription opioids among college students remains a significant indicator of risk and dysfunction. The chronic pain measure reflects a lifetime diagnosis confirmed by a healthcare or mental health professional, which indicates a clinically recognized and likely impactful condition. However, the measure does not distinguish between current versus past symptoms or assess changes in pain severity over time. This may result in some misclassification or reduced specificity when interpreting its relationship to present-day academic or quality of life outcomes. Similarly, students were asked to self-report mental illness diagnoses, which may underestimate prevalence, but we believe that the inclusion of validated scales signifying moderate to severe feelings of sadness, loneliness, and stress helps reorient toward the population prevalence of mental health problems among college students. Mental health problems were assessed using a composite of diagnostic and symptom-based indicators. While this inclusive approach improves sensitivity, it may also conflate clinically distinct mental health conditions and symptom severity levels. Due to the cross-sectional nature of the current study, causal relationships cannot be determined. Future longitudinal studies should explore the numerous causal pathways between chronic pain, mental health problems, opioid misuse, academic performance, and quality of life among college students.

Although we adjusted for survey year to capture temporal variation during the COVID-19 pandemic, we were unable to account for specific pandemic-related exposures such as lockdown stringency, remote learning status, or COVID-specific psychological distress, which may have influenced both predictors and outcomes.

# 5. Conclusion

This study identified significant associations between chronic pain, mental health problems, and opioid misuse, both individually and interactively, with impeded academic performance and lower quality of life among college students. The findings are consistent with a syndemic framework and suggest that co-occurring health burdens may compound functional outcomes in this population. Although causal inferences cannot be drawn due to the study's cross-sectional design, the results underscore the need for future research that explores causal pathways and evaluates integrated prevention and support strategies tailored to student populations.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Fares Qeadan:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Rose Thornquist:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Benjamin Tingey:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Investigation.

# **Ethics** approval

Not required.

# Funding

No funding was received for conducting this study.

# Declaration of competing interest

Author declares they have no conflict of interest.

# Acknowledgements

This study uses data from the American College Health Association National College Health Assessment (ACHA-NCHA). The opinions, findings, and conclusions reported in this article are those of the authors and are in no way meant to represent the corporate opinions, views, or policies of the ACHA. ACHA does not warrant nor assume any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information presented in this article. We thank Rona Bern for conducting the initial literature review for this study.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2025.118243.

# Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the American College Health Association National College Health Assessment (ACHA-NCHA) (Contact Christine Kukich, at ckukich@acha.org).

#### References

- American College Health Association, 2016. Opioid prescribing in college health. https://www.acha.org/resource/opioid-prescribing-in-college-health. (Accessed 15 May 2025).
- American College Health Association, 2022. American College Health Association-National College Health Assessment III: Undergraduate Student Reference Group Executive Summary Spring 2022. American College Health Association.
- Arria, A.M., DuPont, R.L., 2010. Nonmedical prescription stimulant use among college students: why we need to do something and what we need to do. J. Addict. Dis. 29 (4), 417–426. https://doi.org/10.1080/10550887.2010.509273.
- Asher BlackDeer, M., PhD, Candidate, Autumn, Patterson Silver Wolf, P., David, A., Maguin, P., Eugene, Beeler-Stinn, P., 2023. Sara. Depression and anxiety among college students: understanding the impact on grade average and differences in gender and ethnicity. J. Am. Coll. Health 71 (4), 1091–1102. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/074448481.2021.1920954.
- Bromberg, D.J., Mayer, K.H., Altice, F.L., 2020. Identifying and managing infectious disease syndemics in patients with HIV. Curr. Opin. HIV AIDS 15 (4), 232–242. https://doi.org/10.1097/coh.00000000000631.
- Carpenter, R.W., Lane, S.P., Bruehl, S., Trull, T.J., 2019. Concurrent and lagged associations of prescription opioid use with pain and negative affect in the daily lives of chronic pain patients. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 87 (10), 872–886. https://doi.org/ 10.1037/ccp0000402.
- Diener, E., Wirtz, D., Tov, W., et al., 2010. New well-being measures: short scales to assess flourishing and positive and negative feelings. Soc. Indic. Res. 97, 143–156. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-009-9493-y.

- Ebert, D.D., Mortier, P., Kaehlke, F., et al., 2019. Barriers of mental health treatment utilization among first-year college students: first cross-national results from the WHO World Mental Health International College Student Initiative. Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res. 28 (2), e1782. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1782.
- Edlund, M.J., Martin, B.C., Russo, J.E., DeVries, A., Braden, J.B., Sullivan, M.D., 2014. The role of opioid prescription in incident opioid abuse and dependence among individuals with chronic non-cancer pain: the role of opioid prescription. Clin. J. Pain 30 (7), 557. https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.00000000000021.
- Edwards, B., Froehle, A., 2023. Examining the incidence of reporting mental health diagnosis between college student athletes and non-athlete students and the impact on academic performance. J. Am. Coll. Health 71 (1), 69–75. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/07448481.2021.1874387.
- Ellis, M.S., Kasper, Z.A., Cicero, T.J., 2020. The impact of opioid use disorder on levels of educational attainment: perceived benefits and consequences. Drug Alcohol Depend. 206, 107618 doi:j.drugalcdep.2019.107618.
- Evans, S., Banerjee, S., Leese, M., Huxley, P., 2007. The impact of mental illness on quality of life: a comparison of severe mental illness, common mental disorder and healthy population samples. Qual. Life Res. 16, 17–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11136-006-9002-6.
- Gorman, K.S., Bruns, C., Chin, C., et al., 2021. Association for University and College Counseling Center Directors: Annual Survey, p. 2021.
- Groenewald, C.B., Law, E.F., Fisher, E., Beals-Erickson, S.E., Palermo, T.M., 2019. Associations between adolescent chronic pain and prescription opioid misuse in adulthood. J. Pain 20 (1), 28–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2018.07.007.
- Gulliver, A., Griffiths, K.M., Christensen, H., 2010. Perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking in young people: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry 10, 113. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244x-10-113.
- Hadi, M.A., McHugh, G.A., Closs, S.J., 2019. Impact of chronic pain on patients' quality of life: a comparative mixed-methods study. Journal of patient experience 6 (2), 133–141. https://doi.org/10.1177/2374373518786013.
- Harper, A., Power, M., Bullinger, M., 1999. WHOQOL User Manual.
- Harries, M.D., Lust, K., Christenson, G.A., Redden, S.A., Grant, J.E., 2018. Prescription opioid medication misuse among university students. Am. J. Addict. 27 (8), 618–624. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajad.12807.
- Hooten, W.M., 2016. Chronic pain and mental health disorders: shared neural mechanisms, epidemiology, and treatment. Mayo Clin. Proc. 91 (7), 955–970. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.04.029.
- Houman, K.M., Stapley, J.C., 2013. The college experience for students with chronic illness: implications for academic advising. Nacada Journal 33 (1), 61–70. https:// doi.org/10.12930/NACADA-13-227.
- Kerr, D.C.R., Bae, H., Cole, V.T., Hussong, A.M., 2023. Psychosocial functioning associated with prescription stimulant and opioid misuse versus illicit drug use among college students. J. Am. Coll. Health 71 (5), 1387–1396. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/07448481.2021.1926269.
- Kessler, R.C., Green, J.G., Gruber, M.J., et al., 2010. Screening for serious mental illness in the general population with the K6 screening scale: results from the WHO World Mental Health (WMH) survey initiative. Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res. 19 (Suppl. 1), 4–22. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.310. Suppl 1.
- Lederer, A.M., Hoban, M.T., 2022. The development of the American College Health Association-National College Health Assessment III: an improved tool to assess and enhance the health and well-being of college students. J. Am. Coll. Health 70 (6), 1606–1610. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2020.1834401.
- Lipson, S.K., Zhou, S., Abelson, S., et al., 2022a. Trends in college student mental health and help-seeking by race/ethnicity: findings from the national healthy minds study, 2013-2021. J. Affect. Disord. 306, 138–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iad 2022 03 038
- Lipson, S.K., Zhou, S., Abelson, S., Heinze, J., Jirsa, M., Morigney, J., et al., 2022b. Trends in college student mental health and help-seeking by race/ethnicity: findings from the national healthy minds study, 2013–2021. J. Affect. Disord. 306, 138–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.03.038.
- Little, R.J.A., 1988. A test of missing completely at random for multivariate data with missing values. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 83 (404), 1198–1202. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 01621459.1988.10478722.
- Liu, C., Stevens, C., Conrad, R., Hahm, H., 2020. Evidence for elevated psychiatric distress, poor sleep, and quality of life concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic among US young adults with suspected and reported psychiatric diagnoses. Psychiatry Res. 292, 113345 doi;j.psychres.2020.113345.
- Martins, S.S., Keyes, K.M., Storr, C.L., Zhu, H., Chilcoat, H.D., 2009. Pathways between nonmedical opioid use/dependence and psychiatric disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Drug Alcohol Depend. 103 (1–2), 16–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2009.01.019.
- McCarthy, K., Chamberlain, M., Chinn, M., et al., 2021. Social participation in college students with chronic pain. Open J. Occup. Ther. 9 (1), 1–12. https://doi.org/ 10.15453/2168-6408.1711.
- Mendenhall, E., Kohrt, B.A., Norris, S.A., Ndetei, D., Prabhakaran, D., 2017. Noncommunicable disease syndemics: poverty, depression, and diabetes among lowincome populations. Lancet 389, 951–963. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736 (17)30402-6, 10072.
- Mendenhall, E., Newfield, T., Tsai, A.C., 2022. Syndemic theory, methods, and data. Soc. Sci. Med. 295, 114656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114656.
- Meshesha, L.Z., Pickover, A.M., Teeters, J.B., Murphy, J.G., 2017. A longitudinal behavioral economic analysis of non-medical prescription opioid use among college students. Psychol. Rec. 67, 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40732-017-0235-2.

National Health Interview Survey, 2019. National Health Interview Survey. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

#### F. Qeadan et al.

- National Institute on Drug Abuse. Drug and Alcohol Use in College-Age Adults in 2018: 2018 Monitoring the Future College Students and Young Adults Survey Results. National Institute on Drug Abuse.
- Orchowski, L.M., Gobin, R.L., Zlotnick, C., 2018. Correlates of condom use among community college women: the role of victimization, substance use, and mental health symptoms. Am. J. Sex. Educ. 13 (2), 170–189. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 15546128.2018.1443302.
- Osman, A., Bagge, C.L., Gutierrez, P.M., Konick, L.C., Kopper, B.A., Barrios, F.X., 2001. The Suicidal Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised (SBQ-R): validation with clinical and nonclinical samples. Assessment 8 (4), 443–454. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 107319110100800409.
- O'Leary, A., Jemmott 3rd, J.B., Stevens, R., Rutledge, S.E., Icard, L.D., 2014. Optimism and education buffer the effects of syndemic conditions on HIV status among African American men who have sex with men. AIDS Behav. 18 (11), 2080–2088. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10461-014-0708-0.
- Paskvan, M., 2021. Steering student-athletes away from opioids for pain management. Train. Condit. https://training-conditioning.com/article/steering-student-athletesaway-from-opioids-for-pain-management. (Accessed 15 May 2025).
- Patrick, M.E., Schulenberg, J.E., Miech, R.A., Johnston, L.D., O'Malley, P.M., Bachman, J.G., 2022. Monitoring the Future Panel Study Annual Report: National Data on Substance Use Among Adults Ages 19 to 60, 1976-2021. Institute for Social Research. https://doi.org/10.7826/ISR-UM.06.585140.002.07.0001.2022.
- Pettegrew, H., 2021. Avoid liability for prescription opioid abuse by student-athletes. United Educ. Risk Advis. https://www.ue.org/risk-management/health-and-wellbeing/avoid-liability-for-prescription-opioid-abuse-by-student-athletes. (Accessed 15 May 2025).
- Prevatt, F., Young, J.L., 2014. Recognizing and treating attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in college students. J. Coll. Student Psychother. 28 (3), 182–200. https:// doi.org/10.1080/87568225.2014.914825.
- Prochaska, J.J., Sung, H.Y., Max, W., Shi, Y., Ong, M., 2012. Validity study of the K6 scale as a measure of moderate mental distress based on mental health treatment need and utilization. Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res. 21 (2), 88–97. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/mpr.1349.
- Qeadan, F., Madden, E.F., Bern, R., et al., 2021. Associations between opioid misuse and social relationship factors among American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian college students in the U.S. Drug Alcohol Depend. 222, 108667. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.108667.
- Qeadan, F., Madden, E.F., Barbeau, W.A., Mensah, N.A., Azagba, S., English, K., 2022. Associations between discrimination and adverse mental health symptoms and disorder diagnoses among college students in the United States. J. Affect. Disord. 310, 249–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.05.026.
- Quinn, K.G., Reed, S.J., Dickson-Gomez, J., Kelly, J.A., 2018. An exploration of syndemic factors that influence engagement in HIV care among Black men. Qual. Health Res. 28 (7), 1077–1087. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732318759529.
- Quinn, K.G., Spector, A., Takahashi, L., Voisin, D.R., 2021. Conceptualizing the effects of continuous traumatic violence on HIV continuum of care outcomes for young Black men who have sex with men in the United States. AIDS Behav. 25 (3), 758–772. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-03040-8.
- Rhee, T.G., Rosenheck, R.A., 2019. Association of current and past opioid use disorders with health-related quality of life and employment among US adults. Drug Alcohol Depend. 199, 122–128 doi:j.drugalcdep.2019.03.004.
- Ribeiro, Í.J., Pereira, R., Freire, I.V., de Oliveira, B.G., Casotti, C.A., Boery, E.N., 2018. Stress and quality of life among university students: a systematic literature review. Health Prof. Educ. 4 (2), 70–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpe.2017.03.002.
- Riboldi, I., Crocamo, C., Callovini, T., et al., 2022. Testing the impact of depressive and anxiety features on the association between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms and academic performance among university students: a mediation analysis. Brain Sci. 12 (9), 1155. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12091155.
- Russell, D., Peplau, L.A., Cutrona, C.E., 1980. The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 39 (3), 472–480. https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.39.3.472.
- Senter, M.S., 2024. The impact of social relationships on college student learning during the pandemic: implications for sociologists. Teach. Sociol. 52 (1), 39–54. https://doi. org/10.1177/0092055X231178505.
- Serbic, D., Zhao, J., He, J., 2020. The role of pain, disability and perceived social support in psychological and academic functioning of university students with pain: an observational study. Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health 33 (3), 209–217. https://doi.org/ 10.1515/jjamh-2019-0032.
- Serbic, D., Friedrich, C., Murray, R., 2021. Psychological, social and academic functioning in university students with chronic pain: a systematic review. J. Am. Coll. Health 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2021.2006199.
- Shi, X., Wang, S., Liu, S., Zhang, T., Chen, S., Cai, Y., 2019. Are procrastinators psychologically healthy? Association between psychosocial problems and procrastination among college students in Shanghai, China: a syndemic approach. Psychol. Health Med. 24 (5), 570–577. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 13548506.2018.1546017.
- Silva, J.P., 2023. Health services for substance use disorders: challenges and future perspectives, 23 (1), 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-10072-y.

- Singer, M., 1994. AIDS and the health crisis of the US urban poor; the perspective of critical medical anthropology. Soc. Sci. Med. 39 (7), 931–948. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0277-9536(94)90205-4.
- Singer, M., Bulled, N., Ostrach, B., Mendenhall, E., 2017. Syndemics and the biosocial conception of health. Lancet 389, 941–950. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736 (17)30003-X, 10072.
- Skevington, S.M., Tucker, C., 1999. Designing response scales for cross-cultural use in health care: data from the development of the UK WHOQOL. Br. J. Med. Psychol. 72 (Pt 1), 51–61. https://doi.org/10.1348/000711299159817.
- Stuart, H., 2016. Reducing the stigma of mental illness. Glob Ment Health (Camb) 3, e17. https://doi.org/10.1017/gmh.2016.11.
- Sun, Y., 2023. The relationship between college students' interpersonal relationship and mental health: multiple mediating effect of safety awareness and college planning. Psychol. Res. Behav. Manag. 16, 261–270. https://doi.org/10.2147/prbm.S396301.
- Treede, R.-D., Rief, W., Barke, A., et al., 2015. A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. Pain 156 (6), 1003. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.000000000000160.
- Tsai, A.C., 2018. Syndemics: a theory in search of data or data in search of a theory? Soc. Sci. Med. 206, 117–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.03.040.
- Tsai, A.C., Burns, B.F., 2015. Syndemics of psychosocial problems and HIV risk: a systematic review of empirical tests of the disease interaction concept. Soc. Sci. Med. 139, 26–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.06.024.
- Tsai, A.C., Mendenhall, E., Trostle, J.A., Kawachi, I., 2017. Co-occurring epidemics, syndemics, and population health. Lancet 389, 978–982. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0140-6736(17)30403-8, 10072.
- Turosak, A., Siwierka, J., 2021. Mental health and stigma on campus: insights from students' lived experience. J. Prev. Interv. Community 49 (3), 266–281. https://doi. org/10.1080/10852352.2019.1654264.
- Turpin, R., King-Marshall, E., Dyer, T., 2023. Latent syndemic profiles among sexual and gender minority college students and psychological distress amid the COVID-19 pandemic. J. LGBT Youth 20 (3), 724–741. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 19361653.2022.2149659.
- Vaishnavi, S., Connor, K., Davidson, J.R., 2007. An abbreviated version of the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC), the CD-RISC2: psychometric properties and applications in psychopharmacological trials. Psychiatry Res. 152 (2–3), 293–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2007.01.006.
- Van Rijswijk, S., van Beek, M., Schoof, G., Schene, A., Steegers, M., Schellekens, A., 2019. Iatrogenic opioid use disorder, chronic pain and psychiatric comorbidity: a systematic review. Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry 59, 37–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. genhosppsych.2019.04.008.
- Vernet, E., Sberna, M., 2022. Does the Andersen Behavioral Model for health services use predict how health impacts college students' academic performance? J. Am. Coll. Health 70 (8), 2454–2461. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2020.1865978.
- Voepel-Lewis, T., Boyd, C.J., McCabe, S.E., et al., 2018. Deliberative prescription opioid misuse among adolescents and emerging adults: opportunities for targeted interventions. J. Adolesc. Health 63 (5), 594–600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iadohealth.2018.07.007.
- Watkins, D.C., Hunt, J.B., Eisenberg, D., 2012. Increased demand for mental health services on college campuses: perspectives from administrators. Qual. Soc. Work 11 (3), 319–337. https://doi.org/10.1177/1473325011401468.
- Weaver, L.J., Kaiser, B.N., 2022. Syndemics theory must take local context seriously: an example of measures for poverty, mental health, and food insecurity. Soc. Sci. Med. 295, 113304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113304.
- Wechsler, H., Kelley, K., Weitzman, E.R., San Giovanni, J.P., Seibring, M., 2000. What colleges are doing about student binge drinking a survey of college administrators. J. Am. Coll. Health 48 (5), 219–226. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10778022/.
- Welsh, J.W., Shentu, Y., Sarvey, D.B., 2019. Substance use among college students. Focus 17 (2), 117–127. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.focus.20180037.
- Wesp, L.M., Malcoe, L.H., Elliott, A., Poteat, T., 2019. Intersectionality research for transgender health justice: a theory-driven conceptual framework for structural analysis of transgender health inequities. Transgend Health 4 (1), 287–296. https:// doi.org/10.1089/treh.2019.0039.
- WHO, 1998. Development of the World health organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. The WHOQOL group. Psychol. Med. 28 (3), 551–558. https://doi. org/10.1017/s0033291798006667.
- Wickramaratne, P.J., Yangchen, T., Lepow, L., et al., 2022. Social connectedness as a determinant of mental health: a scoping review. PLoS One 17 (10), e0275004. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275004.
- Williams, C., Vermund, S.H., 2021. Syndemic framework evaluation of severe COVID-19 outcomes in the United States: factors associated with race and ethnicity. Front. Public Health 9, 720264. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.720264.
- Yao, C., Zhang, Y., Lu, P., et al., 2023. Exploring the bidirectional relationship between pain and mental disorders: a comprehensive Mendelian randomization study.
  J. Headache Pain 24 (1), 82. https://doi.org/10.1186/s10194-023-01612-2.
- Zhang, M.X.W., Chen, M., Mao, Z., 2018. Measuring social vulnerability to flood disasters in China. Sustainability 10 (8). https://doi.org/10.3390/su10082676.
- Zhang, J., O'Leary, A., Jemmott 3rd, J.B., Icard, L.D., Rutledge, S.E., 2019. Syndemic conditions predict lower levels of physical activity among African American men who have sex with men: a prospective survey study. PLoS One 14 (3), e0213439. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213439.